

**May 18, 2020 Special Board and Stakeholder Meeting Minutes**
Zoom Electronic Meeting

Board Members	Roll Call
Isiah Cade	Present left at 9:15 p.m.
Louis Dominguez	Present
Greg Ellis	Present
Doug Epperhart	Present
Bob Gelfand	Present
Noel Gould	Present
Richard Havenick	Present
Erika Hernandez	Present
Andrea Herman	Present
Daniel Kohn	Present
John Kopczynski	Present
Kathleen Martin	Present
Kavitha Muthuswamy	Present
Dean Pentcheff	Present
Shannon Ross	Present left at 9:00 p.m.
Robin Rudisill	Present
Jose Abraham Vargas	Present left at 9:20 p.m. returned at 9:40 p.m.

- 1. TECHNICAL CHECKOUT FOR ONLINE MEETING** *(no substantive discussion or comment)*
- 2. PUBLIC COMMENT ON NON-AGENDA ITEMS** – None
- 3. CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL:** The meeting was called to order at 6:30 p.m. by President Doug Epperhart. See list above for board attendance. There was a quorum with 17/16/15/14/15 Board members present and approximately 25 stakeholders in attendance.
- 4. BOARD MEMBER COMMENT ON NON-AGENDA ITEMS**
 - Noel Gould commented that he would like the meeting to be recorded.
 - Greg Ellis thanked all of our precinct workers. The precinct workers at San Pedro High School did a great job, and even got pizza for the people in line.
- 5. MOTION TO PROVIDE \$5,000 TO THE ~~CABRILLO BEACH BOOSTERS~~ HARBOR AREA BOOSTERS**
– *Postponed by Board from February 2020 Board Meeting*

Resolved, the Coastal San Pedro Neighborhood Council shall provide \$5,000 to the Harbor Area Boosters Association, Inc. to purchase all-terrain vehicles (ATVs).

Motion by **Louis Dominguez** to provide \$5,000 to the Harbor Area Boosters Association, Inc. to purchase all-terrain vehicles (ATV's), second by **Kathleen Martin**, and passed with 14 yes (Cade, Dominguez, Ellis, Epperhart, Gelfand, Gould, Havenick, Kohn, Kopczynski, Martin, Muthuswamy, Pentcheff, Ross, and Vargas), 3 noes (Herman, Hernandez, and Rudisill), and 0 abstention.

Motion by **Dean Pentcheff** to add items 6,7,8, and 10. as consent calendar, second by **Noel Gould**, and passed with 15 yes (Cade, Dominguez, Ellis, Epperhart, Gelfand, Gould, Havenick, Herman, Hernandez, Kopczynski, Kohn, Muthuswamy, Pentcheff, Ross, and Vargas), 0 no, and 2 abstentions (Martin and Rudisill).

Motion by **Dean Pentcheff** to approve consent calendar items 6, 7, 8, and 10. as presented, second by **Kathleen Martin**, and passed with 17 yes (Cade, Dominguez, Ellis, Epperhart, Gelfand, Gould, Havenick, Herman, Hernandez, Kopczynski, Kohn, Martin, Muthuswamy, Pentcheff, Ross, Rudisill, and Vargas), 0 no, and 0 abstention.

6. MOTION TO ADOPT THE SAN PEDRO–WILMINGTON URBAN WATERFRONT VISION – Planning and Transportation Committee

The Coastal San Pedro Neighborhood Council adopts the attached San Pedro-Wilmington Urban Waterfront Vision and forward it to the Wilmington, Harbor City and other San Pedro Neighborhood Councils for their consideration and input.

7. MOTION RECOMMENDING INCLUSION OF COASTAL ZONE SINGLE-FAMILY NEIGHBORHOODS IN THE BASELINE MANSIONIZATION ORDINANCE – Planning and Transportation Committee

Whereas, Coastal Zone single-family neighborhoods should have at least equal protection from mansionization as the non-Coastal Zone areas of the City.

Whereas, the exclusion of the Coastal Zone areas from the original Baseline Mansionization Ordinance (BMO) has meant that the San Pedro, Venice, Playa del Rey and Pacific Palisades Coastal Zone areas have been the only single-family neighborhoods in the entire City in which developers can build over sized homes under City law. It is nonsensical that the protected Coastal Zone areas have been the least protected areas of Los Angeles from over development.

Whereas, it is particularly important that San Pedro and Venice—both designated as Special Coastal Communities—be protected by the BMO because out of scale and size development shall not be allowed in designated special coastal communities, as per the California Coastal Plan.

Therefore be it Resolved, the Coastal San Pedro Neighborhood Council supports the City Council's motion to amend the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance (BMO) to include the Coastal Zone single-family neighborhoods within the City of Los Angeles under the same standards as the City's current BMO.

8. MOTION RECOMMENDING APPROVAL OF ACCESSORY DWELLING UNITS ONLY WHEN THEY INCREASE DENSITY/ HOUSING UNITS – Planning and Transportation Committee

Whereas, the main motivation of the Accessory Dwelling Unit (ADU) law was to provide for additional housing stock by supporting the creation of NEW units that add to the overall rental housing supply; and the goal was to provide a more affordable housing option with the potential to provide significant amounts of new rental units.

Whereas, what we're seeing in the last year or so is that ADUs are playing a role in projects that are being submitted where applicants want to decrease density and essentially downzone by replacing multi-family structures, with large single-family homes with tiny ADUs.

Whereas, these projects are actually changing multi-family neighborhoods to single-family neighborhoods as they're gradually increasing the number of single-family dwellings in multi-family zones.

Whereas, for these projects, they don't intend to actually use the ADU as a rental unit, nor is the use as a rental unit enforced. They're being put in so as to supposedly maintain density, in order to get the permit, but much more likely than not, they will use the ADU as a part of their single-family dwelling and not as a rental unit.

Whereas, the evidence does not show that the accessory unit mitigates the loss of the existing normal rental unit, particularly with these tiny ADUs, which are usually a fraction of the size of the existing units they're supposedly replacing, as they're not livable for the same sized family.

Whereas, State Senator Skinner said during the SB 50 hearings, that most ADU units are too small and are not creating real, livable housing for our families.

Whereas, SB 330 requires maintenance of density and thus replacement of existing units.

Whereas, ADU's are meant to increase the supply of the state's housing and should not be used to purport to maintain the housing stock.

Whereas, we need to maintain our housing stock with real housing AND we need to increase our housing stock with real housing.

Whereas, this loophole and abuse of the ADU law must be stopped.

Therefore be it resolved, the Coastal San Pedro Neighborhood Council requests that the L.A. City Council, the Coastal Commission, and the State Legislature take action to stop the abuse of the Accessory Dwelling Unit (ADU) law and require that ADUs be approved only when they increase density/housing units (or when they are replacing an existing ADU), and that ADUs not count as replacement units under SB 330.

9. MOTION TO SUPPORT THE APPEAL OF PROPOSED PROJECT AT 1309–1331 PACIFIC (CPC-2019-4908-DB-SPR/ENV-2019-4909-CE)

Whereas the Coastal San Pedro Neighborhood Council (CSPNC) Board recommended denial of and recommended significant changes to the proposed project at 2111-2139 Pacific, which is very similar to, and just seven blocks away from, the 1309-1331 Pacific project and has the same applicant and representative, and

Whereas both the 2111-2139 Pacific project and the 1309-1331 Pacific project are immediately adjacent to the Coastal Zone, the 2111-2139 Pacific project is in the CSPNC jurisdiction and the 1309-1331 Pacific project is immediately adjacent to the CSPNC jurisdiction, and

Whereas this is also the same applicant/developer as for the 1803 Mesa project, which is within the Coastal Zone and which CSPNC strongly opposed as it violated State Coastal Act and City law and was not compatible with the surrounding area, and

Whereas community members (Citizens Protecting San Pedro) have proven that the applicant and their representative, Jonathan Loner, submitted false information to City Planning in the project application and repeatedly misled the three San Pedro Neighborhood Councils' planning committees regarding this project, and

Whereas the plans and renderings for this project that were provided to the community, the City and the City Planning Commission (CPC) were materially in error and misleading, and

Whereas the applicant is requesting a 52% height bonus, a 77% FAR bonus, a 20% reduction in open space, and a 5 foot rear yard setback in lieu of the 16 feet otherwise required, but is only providing 12 very low income dwelling units out of the total 102 dwelling units, and

Whereas this project has been awarded a CEQA Categorical Exemption (CE) by the CPC, in error, which is due to falsified data provided by the developer and other deviations from the law, and

Whereas the CPC was obligated to provide a new public hearing when the project's entitlement request was materially changed at the CPC hearing for the FAR bonus, from ministerial as per Density Bonus ordinance requirements (on menu) to discretionary (off menu), and

Whereas we believe this change was made because the community had provided evidence to prove that the FAR bonus entitlement did not qualify under the on-menu Density Bonus regulations, and

Whereas under the law if the FAR Density Bonus does not meet the specific limits of the Density Bonus regulations it cannot just be transferred to an "off menu" discretionary request instead, and

Whereas the project is approximately 1.8 times (almost double) the FAR/size of, and is significantly out of conformance with, what is allowed in the San Pedro Community Plan and the San Pedro Community Plan Implementation Overlay District (CPIO), and

Whereas the Pacific Corridor Development Plan requires that "development projects occupying more than 50% of a length of one side of a street block should provide public open space in the form of a plaza, outdoor dining area or other similar space" and this project does not meet that requirement, and

Whereas the project does not provide for any infrastructure upgrades for water, sewer, streets, power or cable, and

Whereas the project is not compatible with the character of the surrounding area or in conformance with the applicable design guidelines, and

Whereas the cumulative impact of this project, together with the proposed "sister" project at 2111-2139 Pacific and the ten similar other large projects just completed, proposed or under construction in the general area, will destroy the existing community character of San Pedro, and

Whereas we are in favor of a project at this location but we insist that Councilman Buscaino and the City not allow a project to become a precedent for San Pedro that does not adhere to the law, that is requesting such outrageous bonuses compared to the affordable housing provided, that undermines our San Pedro Community Plan, and that contributes to a significant adverse cumulative impact on the area surrounding the project location as well as all of San Pedro, and

Whereas we specifically do not want this project to become a precedent for the proposed project at 2111-2139 Pacific.

Resolved, the Coastal San Pedro Neighborhood Council supports an appeal to City Council of the City Planning Commission's decision to approve the project at 1309-1331 Pacific, requests Councilman Buscaino's support of the appeal, and requests that the Planning and Land Use Management Committee (PLUM) and City Council uphold the appeal and deny the project.

Motion by Robin Rudisill to approve motion to support the appeal of proposed project at 1309-1331 Pacific (CPC-2019-4908-DB-SPR/ENV-2019-4909-CE), second by Noel Gould, and passed with 16 yes (Cade, Dominguez, Ellis, Epperhart, Gelfand, Gould, Havenick, Herman, Hernandez, Kohn, Kopczynski, Martin, Pentcheff, Ross, Rudisill, and Vargas), 0 no, 0 abstention, and 1 out of room (Muthuswamy).

10. MOTION REQUESTING ADDITIONAL DASH BUS STOPS

Resolved, the Coastal San Pedro Neighborhood Council requests that the Department of Transportation establish additional DASH bus stops on South Palos Verdes St., one at 11th Street and one at 15th Street.

11. MOTION REQUESTING CITY OF LOS ANGELES PROVIDE HOTEL ROOMS OR SHELTER FOR HOMELESS DURING COVID-19 CRISIS

Resolved, the Coastal San Pedro Neighborhood Council requests that the City of Los Angeles respond to the COVID pandemic by immediately providing hotel rooms or appropriate emergency shelter to save the lives and give a fighting chance for each person experiencing homelessness in the City of Los Angeles.

Motion by **Kathleen Martin** to approve motion requesting City of Los Angeles provide hotel rooms or shelter for homeless during COVID-19 crisis, second by **Isiah Cade**, and passed with 16 yes (Cade, Dominguez, Ellis, Epperhart, Gelfand, Gould, Havenick, Herman, Hernandez, Kohn, Martin, Muthuswamy, Pentcheff, Ross, Rudisill, and Vargas), 0 no, 0 abstention, and 1 Recused (Kopczynski).

12. MOTION URGING GROOMING OF TRAILS AT WILDER'S ANNEX WHILE PLANNING AND REPAIR OF STAIRCASE IS COMPLETED – *Coastline and Parks Committee*

Whereas the stairway beach access at Wilder's Annex has been closed by the city for over three years, and *Whereas* Wilder's Annex is the only beach access point for 2.5 miles which, according to the California Coastal Commission, is required to be open to public access,

Resolved, the Coastal San Pedro Neighborhood Council urges the Department of Recreation and Parks to groom the existing natural path to the beach east of the stairway and open the gates to the far west of Wilder's Annex and groom the existing trail there as well so the public can safely access the beach while the city works on plans to restore the stairway.

Motion from committee as amended passed with 16 yes (Cade, Dominguez, Ellis, Epperhart, Gelfand, Gould, Havenick, Herman, Hernandez, Kohn, Kopczynski, Martin, Pentcheff, Ross, Rudisill, and Vargas), 0 no, 0 abstention, and 1 out of room (Muthuswamy).

13. MOTION TO SUPPORT NORTHWEST SAN PEDRO NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL LETTER TO PORT OF LOS ANGELES REGARDING RAIL TRANSPORT OF HAZARDOUS MATERIALS – *Joint San Pedro Neighborhood Council Environment and Sustainability Committee*

Resolved, the Coastal San Pedro Neighborhood Council shall adopt the following position and forward a letter to the Port of Los Angeles:

Please consider that the Coastal San Pedro Neighborhood Council supports the February 24, 2020 letter submitted by the Northwest San Pedro Neighborhood Council (NWSPNC) regarding "Follow-up questions regarding the transport of hazardous materials over Port-owned rail lines."

We stand passed with the NWSPNC with request for answer to the specific questions detailed in the letter and summarized below.

1. What routes do tank car shipments of hazardous materials take over port-owned rail tracks?
 - a. Along what routes are LPG (specifically propane and butane) transported by rail?
2. Please document and explain which specific local, state and federal laws and agency regulations would be duplicated or contradicted by extending the Port's risk analysis to include the rail transport of hazardous materials.
3. Please identify and provide copies of risk analyses conducted by other agencies regarding the rail transport of hazardous LPG along the North Gaffey rail spur from the Rancho LPG facility (for example) and elsewhere on Port- owned rail lines.
4. To what extent are the Port's overarching policy principles and goals regarding the impact of Port related operations, on-site and off-site, required to be consistent?
5. Should there be a potentially life-threatening incident on any of the rail tracks at issue, who will notify the public?

Motion from committee as amended passed with 16 yes (Cade, Dominguez, Ellis, Epperhart, Gelfand, Gould, Havenick, Herman, Hernandez, Kohn, Kopczynski, Martin, Pentcheff, Ross, Rudisill, and Vargas), 0 no, 0 abstention, and 1 out of room (Muthuswamy).

14. MOTION TO SUPPORT ASSEMBLY BILL 2103 DOMINGUEZ CHANNEL WATERSHED/CATALINA ISLAND – *Joint San Pedro Neighborhood Council Environment and Sustainability Committee*

Resolved, the Coastal San Pedro Neighborhood Council urges the City Council (**CF20-002-S26**) to support Assembly Bill 2103, which would add the Dominguez Channel watershed and Santa Catalina Island to the territory of the "San Gabriel and Lower Los Angeles Rivers and Mountains Conservancy" in the Natural Resources Agency, and to update the "San Gabriel and Lower Los Angeles Parkway and Open Space Plan" to include the priorities for conservation and enhanced public use within the Dominguez Channel watershed and Santa Catalina Island.

Motion from committee as amended passed with 16 yes (Cade, Dominguez, Ellis, Epperhart, Gelfand, Gould, Havenick, Herman, Hernandez, Kohn, Kopczynski, Martin, Pentcheff, Ross, Rudisill, and Vargas), 0 no, 0 abstention, and 1 out of room (Muthuswamy).

Motion by **Kathleen Martin** to approve consent calendar items 15, 16, and 17, as presented, second by **Isiah Cade**, and passed with 11 yes (Cade, Ellis, Epperhart, Gould, Havenick, Hernandez, Kopczynski, Martin, Muthuswamy, Ross, and Vargas), 4 noes (Gelfand, Herman, Kohn, and Pentcheff), and 2 abstentions (Dominguez and Rudisill).

Request by board member Bob Gelfand to pull item 15, 16, and 17 from the consent calendar

15. MOTION CONDEMNING USE OF “EXHAUSTIVE EFFORTS” BY THE DEPARTMENT OF NEIGHBORHOOD EMPOWERMENT

Whereas, in 2018, the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment (DONE) put the Central San Pedro Neighborhood Council (CeSPNC) under what it refers to as “exhaustive efforts.” This involves taking control of a neighborhood council, not allowing that council to hold meetings or otherwise exercise its normal rights, except with the permission and under the direct supervision of DONE. The law of the city of Los Angeles allows for the use of this process by DONE, but the law is clear in requiring that DONE specify the acts, rules violations, or violations of the law that justify the use of exhaustive efforts. In spite of numerous requests that DONE explain and specify its justification for that action, DONE has never done so.

Therefore resolved, the Coastal San Pedro Neighborhood Council condemns the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment’s failure to obey the law and condemns its refusal to explain its actions of that time. The Coastal San Pedro Neighborhood Council advises the city of Los Angeles that the current system for invoking exhaustive efforts is flawed and that neighborhood councils being threatened with exhaustive efforts or actually in exhaustive efforts must have available to them an appeal process that involves fact finding and due process.

Motion by **Kathleen Martin** to approve motion condemning use of “exhaustive efforts” by the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment, second by **Daniel Kohn**, and passed with 15 yes (Cade, Dominguez, Ellis, Epperhart, Gelfand, Gould, Havenick, Herman, Hernandez, Kohn, Kopczynski, Muthuswamy, Pentcheff, Rudisill, and Vargas), 1 no (Ross), and 1 abstention (Martin).

16. MOTION CONDEMNING THE THREAT OF “PRE-EXHAUSTIVE EFFORTS” BY THE DEPARTMENT OF NEIGHBORHOOD EMPOWERMENT

Whereas, in 2019, the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment presented a letter to the Central San Pedro Neighborhood Council (CeSPNC) which referred to “pre-exhaustive efforts” and which advised CeSPNC that its actions in an upcoming board meeting would be evaluated and might result in the imposition of exhaustive efforts. The action that was under consideration involved CeSPNC’s right to remove its president from that office by majority vote of its governing board. Such action would have been entirely within the rights of the CeSPNC.

Therefore resolved, the Coastal San Pedro Neighborhood Council condemns the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment (DONE) for its course of action invoking “pre-exhaustive efforts”, since it constituted a threat to Central San Pedro Neighborhood Council (CeSPNC), to its autonomy as a neighborhood council, and to the free exercise of rights by all neighborhood councils in the Los Angeles system. CSPNC further argues that DONE should not threaten any neighborhood council with exhaustive efforts absent a clearly stated, legal requirement, as required by the city’s code.

Motion by **Kathleen Martin** to approve motion condemning the threat of “pre-exhaustive efforts” by the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment, second by **Daniel Kohn**, and passed with 15 yes (Cade, Dominguez, Ellis, Epperhart, Gelfand, Gould, Havenick, Herman, Hernandez, Kohn, Kopczynski, Muthuswamy, Pentcheff, Rudisill, and Vargas), 2 noes (Martin and Ross), and 0 abstention.

17. MOTION CONDEMNING THE ACTION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF NEIGHBORHOOD EMPOWERMENT’S ACTIONS AGAINST SHERYL AKERBLOM AND REQUEST TO RECTIFY

Whereas, Sheryl Akerblom has worked on behalf of several neighborhood councils in the Los Angeles harbor area for several years. She has functioned as a note-taker, as the scribe who creates and communicates the draft minutes of governing board and other meetings, and in some cases by assisting the treasurer, by creating the books and other records, and by drawing up financial reports. These actions by Sheryl Akerblom were carried out at the express request and by consent of the neighborhood councils and under the supervision of elected officers of those councils. In late 2019, the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment acted to remove Sheryl Akerblom from her ability to work on behalf of neighborhood councils and therefore to prevent her from making a living in this way. When neighborhood councils asked to be told the reasons for this action, DONE representatives including General Manager Raquel Beltran and staff members Thomas Soong and Octaviano Rios said that they would not answer these questions in order to maintain confidentiality. Sheryl Akerblom, as the target of this action, should have the right to be informed as to any charges being made against her. Akerblom asserts that she has not been so informed. When neighborhood council representatives asked DONE representatives whether they had, in fact, explained their actions to Akerblom, even then they would not answer whether or not they had so explained to Akerblom. Given Akerblom's statement and DONE's refusal to even answer the question, we find that it is likely that DONE has never fully explained to Sheryl Akerblom the reasons for the actions they have taken. There have been conversations between the president of CSPNC and assistant City Attorneys regarding this issue. The City Attorney's office states that the General Manager of DONE has the right to control spending by neighborhood councils, and that neighborhood council protests are and will be to no avail.

Therefore resolved, the Coastal San Pedro Neighborhood Council (CSPNC) finds that **THE FAILURE TO DISCLOSE THE REASONS FOR SHERYL AKERBLOM'S TERMINATION IS EVIDENCE OF IMPROPRIETY.** ~~there is some evidence that Akerblom is being discriminated against, either due to her gender or for some other reason, and that the actions taken against her are therefore improper and possibly illegal.~~ The CSPNC condemns the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment for its actions with regard to Sheryl Akerblom and calls on the city of Los Angeles to investigate this situation and to set things right.

Motion by **Andrea Herman** to amend the motion **AS NOTED ABOVE**, second by **Daniel Kohn**, and passed without objection.

Motion by **Bob Gelfand** to approve motion condemning the action of the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment's actions against Sheryl Akerblom and request to rectify as amended, second by **Isiah Cade**, and passed with 13 yes (Dominguez, Ellis, Epperhart, Gelfand, Gould, Havenick, Herman, Hernandez, Kopczynski, Kohn, Muthuswamy, Pentcheff, and Rudisill), 0 no, 0 abstention, 2 out of room (Martin and Vargas), and 2 left meeting early (Cade and Ross).

18. MOTION SUPPORTING TRAINING IN ROBERT'S RULES OF ORDER

Whereas, in 2019, the Board of Neighborhood Commissioners engaged in extensive debate regarding imposing some sort of training requirements on neighborhood council participants, such training to be in addition to the already legally required training such as the state ethics training requirement. In response to the Covid-19 pandemic, the governor of the State of California, along with the mayor of Los Angeles, have put limitations on public meetings. Neighborhood Councils were informed early on that they were to hold no public meetings of any kind. More recently, DONE has put together a system by which neighborhood councils may hold online meetings. As part of that process, DONE has, so far, held online training sessions of 3 and 2 hour duration, respectively.

Therefore resolved, the Coastal San Pedro Neighborhood Council supports and applauds the inclusion of training in Roberts Rules of Order in the new system, and urges all current and prospective governing board members to train themselves in Roberts Rules. However, the initial online sessions were of great length and passed without much content, and as such served more to waste the time of board members than to create a new and reformed system. CSPNC points out that nowhere during those 5 hours was there a straightforward explanation of how to set up an online meeting.

Motion by **Bob Gelfand** to approve motion condemning the action of the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment's actions against Sheryl Akerblom and request to rectify as amended, second by **Greg Ellis**, and passed with 13 yes (Dominguez, Ellis, Epperhart, Gelfand, Gould, Havenick, Herman, Hernandez, Kopczynski, Kohn, Muthuswamy, Pentcheff, and Rudisill), 0 no, 1 abstention (Martin), 1 out of room (Vargas), and 2 left meeting early (Cade and Ross).

19. TRESURER'S REPORT – Louis Dominguez, Treasurer – Gave a brief overview of current funding levels

20. REPORT FROM PRESIDENT ON EMERGENCY EXPENDITURES: \$1,000 to Feed and Be Fed, \$1,000 to Harbor Interfaith, and \$1,000 to Boys and Girls Club – President Epperhart gave a brief overview of the details of the expenditures.

Budget and Finance (Consent Calendar)

21. APPROVAL OF MONTHLY EXPENDITURE REPORT FOR FEBRUARY, MARCH, APRIL 2020.

22. APPROVAL OF MONTHLY EXPENSES, INCLUDING APPROVAL OF TREASURER'S PAYMENT OF ALL RECURRING NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL EXPENSES INCLUDING (BUT NOT LIMITED TO) LLOYD STAFFING, THE MAILROOM, ANGELS GATE CULTURAL CENTER MEETING EXPENSES, VENDOR(S) FOR MEETING REFRESHMENTS, AND OFFICE SUPPLIES

Motion by **Louis Dominguez** to approve Budget and Finance consent calendar as presented, second by **Noel Gould**, and passed with 14 yes (Dominguez, Ellis, Epperhart, Gelfand, Gould, Havenick, Herman, Hernandez, Kopczynski, Kohn, Martin, Muthuswamy, Pentcheff, and Rudisill), 0 no, 0 abstention, 1 out of room (Vargas), and 2 left meeting early (Cade and Ross).

23. MOTION TO REALLOCATE BUDGET ALLOCATIONS

Resolved, the budget allocations of the Coastal San Pedro Neighborhood Council shall be reallocated to accommodate expenditures made during the COVID-19 emergency period, and to accommodate any further expenditures.

Resolved, the Coastal San Pedro Neighborhood Council reallocates funds as follows:

\$5,000 from office/operation expenditures: temporary staff to outreach expenditures: emergency funds,
\$1,350 from office/operation expenditures: audio services to outreach expenditures: newsletters,
\$750 from office/operation expenditures: committee meeting space to outreach expenditures: newsletters,
\$1,200 from office/operation expenditures: food/refreshments to outreach expenditures: newsletters.

Motion by **Dean Pentcheff** to approve budget reallocations as noted above, second by **Richard Havenick**, and passed with 14 yes (Dominguez, Ellis, Epperhart, Gelfand, Gould, Havenick, Herman, Hernandez, Kopczynski, Kohn, Martin, Muthuswamy, Pentcheff, and Rudisill), 0 no, 0 abstention, 1 out of room (Vargas), and 2 left meeting early (Cade and Ross).

24. APPOINTMENTS OF COMMITTEE OFFICERS, COMMITTEE MEMBERS, AND BOARD REPRESENTATIVES

- a. Appoint Kathleen Martin and Bob Gelfand as Emergency Preparedness and Resilience Liaisons
- b. Appoint budget representatives. Default Louis and Doug confirmed.
- c. and other appointments as necessary – None

25. ANNOUNCEMENTS

- a. Julien Antelin from the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment commented that he is the new Neighborhood Council Advocate for Coastal San Pedro Neighborhood Council. He gave a brief overview of his career.
- b. Bob Gelfand going to be having online Emergency Preparedness meeting soon.
- c. Kathleen Martin gave a very brief overview of some of the efforts being made on behalf of homeless people.

26. PUBLIC COMMENT ON NON-AGENDA ITEMS

- a. Danielle Sandoval, Region 12 Budget Advocate, announced the annual Budget Day event has been cancelled and new term Budget Advocates will instead be elected via electronic meetings. The Region 12 elections will take place at 4:00 p.m. on Tuesday, June 28th.
- b. Andriana De La Cruz, commented that the LA Food Bank has asked neighborhood councils to help get out the word about the availability for free food. Please visit lafoodbank.org for more information.

27. ADJOURNMENT: The meeting was adjourned at 10:00 p.m. The next regular meeting to be announced.

Respectfully submitted,
Sheryl Akerblom,
for the Coastal San Pedro Neighborhood Council